

A UNITAL VERSION OF KK-THEORY

JOINT WORK WITH J. GABE AND C. SCHAFHAUSER

José Carrión

TCU

July 20, 2026

VOAW 2026

Classification theorem (many hands)

Unital simple separable nuclear $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras satisfying the UCT are classified by K -theory and traces.

CLASSIFYING MORPHISMS

Classification theorem (many hands)

Unital simple separable nuclear $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras satisfying the UCT are classified by K -theory and traces.

Behind it sits a classification of *morphisms*: under suitable hypotheses, unital $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$ are classified up to **approximate unitary equivalence** by K -theoretic and tracial data.

An essential tool for this classification is KK -theory.

Classification theorem (many hands)

Unital simple separable nuclear $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras satisfying the UCT are classified by K -theory and traces.

Behind it sits a classification of *morphisms*: under suitable hypotheses, unital $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$ are classified up to approximate unitary equivalence by K -theoretic and tracial data.

An essential tool for this classification is KK -theory.

Today: KK_u , a “ KK that remembers the unit”, and why we’d want it.

A unital separable, B σ -unital; $\mathcal{K}$ = compacts, $\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ = multiplier algebra of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Cuntz pair $(\varphi, \psi): A \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$

two $*$ -homomorphisms into $\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ agreeing modulo the compacts: $\varphi(a) - \psi(a) \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $a \in A$

A unital separable, B σ -unital; $\mathcal{K}$ = compacts, $\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ = multiplier algebra of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Cuntz pair $(\varphi, \psi): A \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$

two $*$ -homomorphisms into $\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ agreeing modulo the compacts: $\varphi(a) - \psi(a) \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $a \in A$

Definition (Cuntz-Thomsen picture of KK)

$KK(A, B) := \{\text{Cuntz pairs}\} / \text{homotopy}$

A unital separable, B σ -unital; $\mathcal{K}$ = compacts, $\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ = multiplier algebra of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Cuntz pair $(\varphi, \psi): A \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$

two $*$ -homomorphisms into $\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ agreeing modulo the compacts: $\varphi(a) - \psi(a) \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all $a \in A$

Definition (Cuntz-Thomsen picture of KK)

$KK(A, B) := \{\text{Cuntz pairs}\} / \text{homotopy}$

- think: “formal difference” $[\varphi] - [\psi]$ of two representations
- $KK(\mathbb{C}, B) \cong K_0(B)$, $KK^1(\mathbb{C}, B) \cong K_1(B)$

THE TWO RELATIONS

Compare $\varphi, \psi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (a Cuntz pair) by conjugating unitaries in $(B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$, with $\|u_\bullet \varphi(a) u_\bullet^* - \psi(a)\| \rightarrow 0$:

THE TWO RELATIONS

Compare $\varphi, \psi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (a Cuntz pair) by conjugating unitaries in $(B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$, with $\|u_\bullet \varphi(a) u_\bullet^* - \psi(a)\| \rightarrow 0$:

- **approximate**: a *sequence* $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ $\rightsquigarrow KL(A, B)$
- **asymptotic**: a $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous *path* $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ $\rightsquigarrow KK(A, B)$

THE TWO RELATIONS

Compare $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (a Cuntz pair) by conjugating unitaries in $(B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim$, with $\|u_\bullet \varphi(a) u_\bullet^* - \psi(a)\| \rightarrow 0$:

- approximate: a *sequence* $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightsquigarrow KL(A, B)$
- asymptotic: a $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous *path* $(u_t)_{t \geq 0} \rightsquigarrow KK(A, B)$

KK/KL-uniqueness (Szabó)

For absorbing φ, ψ (roughly: each contains a copy of every representation):

$[\varphi, \psi] = 0$ in $KL(A, B) \iff \varphi, \psi$ approximately u.e.

$[\varphi, \psi] = 0$ in $KK(A, B) \iff \varphi, \psi$ asymptotically u.e.

via a path with $u_0 = 1$

KK ignores the unit: the maps in a Cuntz pair (φ, ψ) need not send 1 to 1. Today: what do we gain by requiring this?

KK ignores the unit: the maps in a Cuntz pair (φ, ψ) need not send 1 to 1. Today: what do we gain by requiring this?

This distinction has a long history in Ext-theory. BDF classified *unital* extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $B = \mathcal{K}$, and the distinction is essentially a $K_1(B)$ term. Since $K_1(\mathcal{K}) = 0$, it's invisible.

KK ignores the unit: the maps in a Cuntz pair (φ, ψ) need not send 1 to 1. Today: what do we gain by requiring this?

This distinction has a long history in Ext-theory. BDF classified *unital* extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $B = \mathcal{K}$, and the distinction is essentially a $K_1(B)$ term. Since $K_1(\mathcal{K}) = 0$, it's invisible.

For general B there is a difference: KK vs. KK_u .

SOME MOTIVATION: AUTOMORPHISMS

An automorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A is a unital map, so computing the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\text{Aut } A)$ requires tracking the unit.

SOME MOTIVATION: AUTOMORPHISMS

An automorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A is a unital map, so computing the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\text{Aut } A)$ requires tracking the unit.

Dadarlat did this for unital Kirchberg algebras. Stated in the KK_u language of today,

$$\pi_n(\text{Aut}(A)) \cong KK_u^n(A, A), \quad n \geq 1.$$

SOME MOTIVATION: AUTOMORPHISMS

An automorphism of a unital C^* -algebra A is a unital map, so computing the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\text{Aut } A)$ requires tracking the unit.

Dadarlat did this for unital Kirchberg algebras. Stated in the KK_u language of today,

$$\pi_n(\text{Aut}(A)) \cong KK_u^n(A, A), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Note that KK cannot separate φ from $\text{Ad}(w)\varphi$, yet $\text{Ad}(w)$ need not connect to the identity in $\text{Aut}(A)$.

Want KK_u to remember w (really, $[w]_1 \in K_1(A)$).

Definition (C–Gabe–Schafhauser)

A Cuntz pair (φ, ψ) is *unital* if $\varphi(1_A) = \psi(1_A) = 1_{\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})}$.

$KK_u(A, B) := \{\text{unital Cuntz pairs}\} / \text{unital homotopy}$

Write $[\varphi, \psi]_u$ for the class of (φ, ψ) .

Definition (C–Gabe–Schafhauser)

A Cuntz pair (φ, ψ) is *unital* if $\varphi(1_A) = \psi(1_A) = 1_{\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})}$.

$$KK_u(A, B) := \{\text{unital Cuntz pairs}\} / \text{unital homotopy}$$

Write $[\varphi, \psi]_u$ for the class of (φ, ψ) .

Similar to KK :

- abelian group: $[\varphi_1, \psi_1]_u + [\varphi_2, \psi_2]_u := [\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2, \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2]_u$

Definition (C-Gabe-Schafhauser)

A Cuntz pair (φ, ψ) is *unital* if $\varphi(1_A) = \psi(1_A) = 1_{\mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})}$.

$$KK_u(A, B) := \{\text{unital Cuntz pairs}\} / \text{unital homotopy}$$

Write $[\varphi, \psi]_u$ for the class of (φ, ψ) .

Similar to KK :

- abelian group: $[\varphi_1, \psi_1]_u + [\varphi_2, \psi_2]_u := [\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2, \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2]_u$
- $-[\varphi, \psi]_u = [\psi, \varphi]_u$; identity element $[\varphi, \varphi]_u$

Forgetting that a unital Cuntz pair is unital gives

$$\mu : KK_u(A, B) \longrightarrow KK(A, B).$$

Forgetting that a unital Cuntz pair is unital gives

$$\mu : KK_u(A, B) \longrightarrow KK(A, B).$$

Questions

- kernel? (what does KK_u see that KK doesn't?)
- image? (which KK -classes have unital representatives?)
- is KK_u computable?

The forgetful map fits into a five-term exact sequence:

$$KK^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial_u} KK_u(A, B) \xrightarrow{j} KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$$

The forgetful map fits into a five-term exact sequence:

$$KK^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial_u} KK_u(A, B) \xrightarrow{j} KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$$

- $v: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$: the unital inclusion

The forgetful map fits into a five-term exact sequence:

$$KK^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial_u} KK_u(A, B) \xrightarrow{j} KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$$

- $v: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$: the unital inclusion
- the boundary map is explicit: $\partial_u[w]_1 = [\pi, \text{Ad}(w)\pi]_u$,
where $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is “unitally” absorbing

WHAT THE SEQUENCE ANSWERS

$$KK^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial_u} KK_u(A, B) \xrightarrow{\mu} KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$$

- $\ker(\mu) \cong K_1(B)/\text{im}(v^*)$

the extra $K_1(B)$ data KK_u contributes, beyond KK

WHAT THE SEQUENCE ANSWERS

$$KK^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial_u} KK_u(A, B) \xrightarrow{\mu} KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$$

- $\ker(\mu) \cong K_1(B) / \text{im}(v^*)$
the extra $K_1(B)$ data KK_u contributes, beyond KK
- image of $\mu = \text{kernel of } KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$
(a KK -class has a unital representative iff it's compatible with the units)

WHAT THE SEQUENCE ANSWERS

$$KK^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial_u} KK_u(A, B) \xrightarrow{\mu} KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$$

- $\ker(\mu) \cong K_1(B) / \text{im}(v^*)$
the extra $K_1(B)$ data KK_u contributes, beyond KK
- image of $\mu = \text{kernel of } KK(A, B) \xrightarrow{v^*} K_0(B)$
(a KK -class has a unital representative iff it's compatible with the units)
- computability: from $KK(A, B)$, $K_*(B)$, and the maps you get $KK_u(A, B)$ up to a group extension

The exact sequence is obtained alongside the following isomorphism. The mapping cone of the unital inclusion v is

$$C_v A := \{f \in C_0([0, 1), A) : f(0) \in \mathbb{C}1_A\},$$

THE UNITAL CONE

The exact sequence is obtained alongside the following isomorphism. The mapping cone of the unital inclusion v is

$$C_v A := \{f \in C_0([0, 1), A) : f(0) \in \mathbb{C}1_A\},$$

sitting in $0 \rightarrow \Sigma A \rightarrow C_v A \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$ ($\Sigma A = C_0((0, 1), A)$).

The exact sequence is obtained alongside the following isomorphism. The mapping cone of the unital inclusion v is

$$C_v A := \{f \in C_0([0, 1), A) : f(0) \in \mathbb{C}1_A\},$$

sitting in $0 \rightarrow \Sigma A \rightarrow C_v A \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$ ($\Sigma A = C_0((0, 1), A)$).

Theorem (C-Gabe-Schafhauser)

$$KK_u(A, B) \cong KK(C_v A, \Sigma B)$$

The exact sequence is obtained alongside the following isomorphism. The mapping cone of the unital inclusion v is

$$C_v A := \{f \in C_0([0, 1), A) : f(0) \in \mathbb{C}1_A\},$$

sitting in $0 \rightarrow \Sigma A \rightarrow C_v A \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$ ($\Sigma A = C_0((0, 1), A)$).

Theorem (C-Gabe-Schafhauser)

$$KK_u(A, B) \cong KK(C_v A, \Sigma B)$$

The five-term sequence is its Puppe sequence; homotopy invariance, stability, and a product $KK_u \times KK \rightarrow KK_u$ come with it.

Classical: $KK^1(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}^{-1}(A, B)$.

Theorem (C-Gabe-Schafhauser; cf. Skandalis '91)

$$KK_u^1(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}_u^{-1}(A, B)$$

The unital and non-unital Ext groups differ by a $K_1(B)$ term, invisible for $B = \mathcal{K}$.

EXAMPLE: $A = \mathcal{O}_2$

$\mathcal{O}_2$ is KK -contractible: $KK(\mathcal{O}_2, B) = KK^1(\mathcal{O}_2, B) = 0$.

EXAMPLE: $A = \mathcal{O}_2$

$\mathcal{O}_2$ is KK -contractible: $KK(\mathcal{O}_2, B) = KK^1(\mathcal{O}_2, B) = 0$.

So the exact sequence collapses to

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial_u} KK_u(\mathcal{O}_2, B) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad KK_u(\mathcal{O}_2, B) \cong K_1(B).$$

WHICH UNITARIES?

Back to comparing **unital** maps in a Cuntz pair

$(\varphi, \psi): A \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, up to asymptotic unitary equivalence.

Recall: φ, ψ agree modulo $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

WHICH UNITARIES?

Back to comparing unital maps in a Cuntz pair
 $(\varphi, \psi): A \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, up to asymptotic unitary equivalence.
Recall: φ, ψ agree modulo $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

It's important that unitaries conjugating φ toward ψ don't disturb that shared quotient. The clean way: require

$$u_t \in 1 + B \otimes \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

so the image of u_t in the quotient is a scalar.

(This requirement gives “proper” asymptotic unitary equivalence.)

STRONG: $u_0 = 1$

Strong ($u_0 = 1$) is the version the classification uses: only paths that start at the identity concatenate into a single unitary path implementing an isomorphism.

STRONG: $u_0 = 1$

Strong ($u_0 = 1$) is the version the classification uses: only paths that start at the identity concatenate into a single unitary path implementing an isomorphism.

Suppose *some* path (u_t) implements $\varphi \sim \psi$.

Want to go from 1 to u_0 first, then follow the path. Possible iff u_0 connects to 1 in $\mathcal{U}((B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim)$:

$$\text{obstruction} = [u_0]_1 \in \pi_0(\mathcal{U}((B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim)) \cong K_1(B).$$

STRONG: $u_0 = 1$

Strong ($u_0 = 1$) is the version the classification uses: only paths that start at the identity concatenate into a single unitary path implementing an isomorphism.

Suppose *some* path (u_t) implements $\varphi \sim \psi$.

Want to go from 1 to u_0 first, then follow the path. Possible iff u_0 connects to 1 in $\mathcal{U}((B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim)$:

$$\text{obstruction} = [u_0]_1 \in \pi_0(\mathcal{U}((B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim)) \cong K_1(B).$$

KK_u decides proper strong asymptotic equivalence for unital maps.

Theorem (C-Gabe-Schafhauser)

Let $\varphi, \psi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be unittally absorbing. Then

$$[\varphi, \psi]_u = 0 \text{ in } KK_u(A, B)$$

if and only if there is a norm-continuous path $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{U}((B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim)$ with $u_0 = 1$ and

$$\|u_t \varphi(a) u_t^* - \psi(a)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Theorem (C-Gabe-Schafhauser)

Let $\varphi, \psi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be unittally absorbing. Then

$$[\varphi, \psi]_u = 0 \text{ in } KK_u(A, B)$$

if and only if there is a norm-continuous path $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{U}((B \otimes \mathcal{K})^\sim)$ with $u_0 = 1$ and

$$\|u_t \varphi(a) u_t^* - \psi(a)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall a \in A.$$

KK_u -classes decide **proper strong** asymptotic unitary equivalence, the *unital analog* of Szabó's KK -uniqueness, via his K_1 -injectivity.

The *Paschke dual* of $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_\pi = \{x \in \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) : [x, \pi(a)] \in B \otimes \mathcal{K} \ \forall a \in A\}.$$

The *Paschke dual* of $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_\pi = \{x \in \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) : [x, \pi(a)] \in B \otimes \mathcal{K} \ \forall a \in A\}.$$

Theorem (C-Gabe-Schafhauser)

If π is unittally absorbing, then

$$K_1(\mathcal{D}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\cong} KK_u(A, B), \quad [U]_1 \mapsto [\pi, \text{Ad}(U)\pi]_u.$$

The *Paschke dual* of $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_\pi = \{x \in \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) : [x, \pi(a)] \in B \otimes \mathcal{K} \ \forall a \in A\}.$$

Theorem (C–Gabe–Schafhauser)

If π is unittally absorbing, then

$$K_1(\mathcal{D}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\cong} KK_u(A, B), \quad [U]_1 \mapsto [\pi, \text{Ad}(U)\pi]_u.$$

- *existence* built in: every class is $[\pi, \text{Ad}(U)\pi]_u$

The *Paschke dual* of $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_\pi = \{x \in \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) : [x, \pi(a)] \in B \otimes \mathcal{K} \ \forall a \in A\}.$$

Theorem (C-Gabe-Schafhauser)

If π is unitaly absorbing, then

$$K_1(\mathcal{D}_\pi) \xrightarrow{\cong} KK_u(A, B), \quad [U]_1 \mapsto [\pi, \text{Ad}(U)\pi]_u.$$

- *existence* built in: every class is $[\pi, \text{Ad}(U)\pi]_u$
- uses: Szabó's K_1 -injectivity theorem for Paschke duals, plus a Gabe-Szabó lemma

THANK YOU!